City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

	For Office Use only:	
Date		
Ref		

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name		
Last Name	Hanson	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)	Menston Parish Council	
Address Line 1		
Line 2	Bingley	
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	BD16	
Telephone Number		
Email Address		
Signature:		Date: 26 March 2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

	For Office Use only:	
Date		
Ref		

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To wh	ich part of the Plan does this	s representation rel	late?	-2	
Section	3	Paragraph	B1	Policy	SC3
4. Do yo	u consider the Plan is:		_		
4 (1). Leg	gally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Soi	und	Yes		No	Χ
4 (3). Co	mplies with the Duty to co-oper	rate Yes		No	

Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Menston is situated on the boundary of the Leeds District with the former hospital High Royds (previously known as Menston West Riding Asylum) immediately to the south. This mental hospital was built in the late 19th century and housed in the region of 3000 patients at its peak and provided employment for a great many local residents. The hospital closed in 1993 and the land and buildings are within the Leeds City boundary and Leeds City Council control.

Under Leeds Planning the hospital has, and still is, undergoing major development creating upwards of 500 new homes and adding circa 2000 to the population with the detrimental effects on Menston village of reducing employment, adding to road and rail congestion, reducing available secondary school places, adding to infant and pre-school requirements and Health Services. However as High Royds is within the Leeds boundary the infrastructure of the village has not been improved or adjusted to cater for this expansion and indeed many of the 106/278 proposals agreed at the time of planning permission seem to have been abandoned by Leeds.

Strategic Core Policy SC3 states "Planning decisions as well as plans, strategies, investment decisions and programmes should be based on A) Effective collaboration between the Council, local planning authorities, the District's Town and Parish Councils, partners and communities within the District Leeds City Region and beyond.

Effectively Menston has expanded by 500 plus houses at High Royds that are not referred to in para A or elsewhere in Policy WD1 when determining house numbers in Menston

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

It is clearly evident that any collaboration between Leeds City Council and Bradford Council has not been effective when assessing the needs for development in Menston, particularly when allocating a requirement for 400 new houses. There has been no dialogue between local cross-boundary communities or their elected representatives. For this reason the WD 1 policy above is unsound following the inadequate statements of intent in Policy SC3.

 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We consider that para B1. in Policy SC3 is inadequate and needs strengthening to ie "firmly consider and appropriately respond to strategic cross boundary issues". It is essential that when determining housing numbers the effect of developments in the whole local area, whatever the Local Authority, needs to be part of the calculation.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

	epresentation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you oral part of the examination?	consider it necessary to participate
	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	
Yes	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	
8. If you wi	sh to participate at the oral part of the examination, please ary:	outline why you consider this to be
We can b	ring local knowledge to support our arguments	
We can b	ring local knowledge to support our arguments	
Please note	ring local knowledge to support our arguments e the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the	
Please note	e the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the	